A Calculation of the Force Required to Raise the USTower HDX572 Amateur Radio Tower from the Horizontal Position as a function of the Tower Angle.

Revision 4.0

Dennis Klipa – N8ERF

March 7, 2019

DISCLAIMER: I am not a licensed nor registered Professional Engineer. I am not even an engineer. These calculations were an exercise in physics to satisfy my own personal curiosity. DO NOT USE THESE CALCULATIONS AS A BASIS FOR ANY DECISIONS OR ACTIONS REGARDING A TOWER INSTALLATION OR OPERATION!!!

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction to the Problem
- 2. Symbols and Variables Used in the Analysis
- 3. The Relationship between the Angles; α, θ and ψ

(Alpha, Theta and Psi)

4. The Virtual Attachment Point

- 5. Torque Analysis
 - a. Clockwise Force and Torque Calculations
 - b. Counterclockwise Force and Torque Calculations
- 6. Virtual versus Actual Attachment Points
- 7. Summary and Conclusions

Forces Associated with Raising a Tiltover Tower US Tower Model HDX-572 MDPL

Version 4

1. The Problem:

When the tower in Figure 1 is tilted over for maintenance, a hand crank hoist and pulley system is used to raise the tower back to its vertical position. It requires in excess of 400 revolutions of the hand crank to go from the horizontal to the vertical position. The majority of those revolutions require two hands to accomplish. It would be advantageous to replace the hand crank system with an electric hoist. Hoists are rated by their vertical lift capability. Therefore, in order to select the proper hoist, we need to know the maximum tension on the cable.

Figure 1. Tower Layout in the Horizontal Position.



Notes:

- 1. WARNING!! A winch is not a hoist and should never be used for lifting. A hoist is made and rated for vertical lifts. A winch is made and rated for horizontal pulls. The braking system on a hoist is, by design, more secure and reliable. The ratings on winches are somewhat arbitrary and are not standardized. A winch may be rated for 9000 lbs but there is no way it could lift 9000 lbs. It is rated for how much it could pull, BUT there is no specification regarding the friction against which it is pulling. Pulling 9000 lbs on a horizontal, frictionless surface is child's play as compared to typical soil conditions.
- 2. The tower layout is shown in Figure 1.
- 3. Tower loads include the tower, a rotor, the antenna and a mast.
- 4. The center of mass (COM) for each load is described.
- 5. The COMs are located on a line represented by the centroid of the triangular cross section of the tower, which is shown in Figure 2. The centroid is where the perpendicular lines from the center of each side intersect with the tower.
- 6. There are four cables that run between the Lift Pulleys (sheaves) on the top of the Lever Post and the Tower Pulleys on the side of the tower at the Attachment Point. There is one cable running from the Lift Pulleys at the top of the Lever Post to the hand crank. This is results in a 4:1 mechanical advantage at the hand crank.
- 7. The torques and forces pulling the tower away from the hand crank are, in this analysis called clockwise torques and forces. The torques and forces pulling toward the hand crank are, in this analysis, called counterclockwise torques and forces to correlate with the figures as drawn.

Figure 2, Tower Cross Section Showing the Centroid



2. Table of Symbols and Variables

- A = Horizontal distance from the pivot to the actual tower cable Attachment Point.
- A_V = Horizontal distance between the pivot and the Virtual Attachment Point.
- B = Horizontal distance from the pivot to the tower COM.
- C = Horizontal distance from the pivot to the rotor COM.
- D = Horizontal distance from the pivot to the antenna COM.
- E = Horizontal distance from the pivot to the mast COM.

 F_c = The counterclockwise for that is applied to the cable bundle between the Attachment Point and the Lift Pulleys

- F_T = The counterclockwise force coincident with torque T at the Attachment Point.
- G = Length of the Lever Post.
- G_Y = Vertical Height of the Lift Pulleys above the Common Reference Point.
- G_x = Horizontal displacement of the Lift Pulleys relative to the Common Reference Point
- H = Horizontal distance from the pivot to the Lever Post.
- J = Distance between tower legs.
- Lx = X-axis distance between the Attachment Point and the Lift Pulley,
- Ly = Y-axis distance between the Attachment Point and the Lift Pulley.
- P = Horizontal distance between pivot and Lift Pulley.

r = Distance between the middle of the side of the tower to the centroid of the triangular cross section.

- T = The net counterclockwise torque.
- α = The angle between the tower and horizontal
- β = The angle between the Lever Post and vertical. AKA, the Lever Post retreat angle.
- heta = The angle between the tower and the cable bundle

- Ψ = The angle between the cable bundle and horizontal
- $\angle Av =$ The angle between the pivot and the Virtual Attachment Point, A_v.
- $\angle B$ = The angle between the pivot and the COM of the tower, along the centroid axis.
- $\angle C$ = The angle between the pivot and the COM of the rotor, along the centroid axis.
- $\angle D$ = The angle between the pivot and the COM of the antenna, along the centroid axis.
- $\angle E$ = The angle between the pivot and the COM of the mast, along the centroid axis.

3. The Relationship Between α , θ and ψ

Definitions

$$\theta = \alpha + \psi$$

 $\psi = \operatorname{Tan}^{-1}(Ly/Lx)$

Figure 3. The relationship between the Angles α, θ and ψ



Alpha, α , is the angle between the tower and horizontal. See Figure 3. When the tower is vertical, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$. θ is the angle between the tower and the cable bundle. The difference between these angles ($\theta - \alpha$) is the angle ψ . The angle ψ is also the angle between the cable bundle and horizontal.

In order to calculate ψ , we need to know the (x,y) positions of both the Lift Pulleys and the Actual Attachment Point, relative to a common reference point, which is defined as the base of the Lever Post. From the knowledge of these positions we can calculate the displacement of the Lift Pulleys from the Attachment Points in terms of the X-axis distance, Lx and the Y-axis distance, Ly.

Calculation of Ly

Ly is the vertical distance between the cable Attachment Point on the side of the tower to the Lift Pulleys on the top of the Lever Post.

This calculation starts with the height of the Lift Pulleys, Gy. The Lift Pulleys are on top of the Lever Post, with the common reference point being the base of the Lever Post. The Lever Post, with length G, is tilted at an angel, β , resulting in a reduction of the Lift Pulley height to:

 $Gy = G \bullet Cos \beta$

The vertical distance of the Attachment Point relative to the common reference point is a result of the tower tilt angle, its horizontal distance from the Pivot ($A \bullet (Sin \alpha)$), and its vertical displacement from the ground side of the tower ($H \bullet Cos \alpha$).

Attachment Point Displacement: $\Delta y = A \bullet (Sin \alpha) + H \bullet (Cos \alpha)$

Therefore,

$$Ly = Gy - (A \bullet (Sin \alpha) + H \bullet (Cos \alpha))$$

Calculation of Lx

Lx is the horizontal distance between the cable Attachment Point and the Lift Pulleys.

The shift if the Lift Pulley position away from the tower, as a result of the Lift Post retreat angle is:

 $Gx = G \bullet Sin \beta$

The Attachment Point distance from the pivot in the X-Axis is given by:

 $\Delta x = H + A \bullet (\cos \alpha) - H \bullet (\sin \alpha)$

Therefore:

 $Lx = Gx + \Delta x$

Lx = G • Sin
$$\beta$$
 + P + A • (Cos α) - H • (Sin α)

Where P is the distance between the Pivot and the Common Reference Point.

<u>Calculation of the Angle ψ .</u>

 ψ = Arctan (Ly/Lx) Graph 1 shows the size of Theta and Psi as a function of Alpha.



4. The Virtual Attachment Point

Assumption: The Counterclockwise torque, T, should be calculated from a point at the intersection of 1) a line through the center of the Lift Pulley and the Tower Attachment Pulley (Point) and 2) the Center of Mass (COM) axis which is coincident with the centroid of the triangular tower cross section.

The COMs are located on a line running down the length of the tower connecting the Centroid points of the triangular cross sections of the tower. The Centroid of a triangle is defined as the point where lines perpendicular to the center of the tower sides intersect inside the tower. The distance from the center of each side of the tower to the centroid point or line is given by r= side length times the square root of three, all divided by 6. See Figure 2.

 $r = (J \times SQRT(3))/6$

The location of the Virtual Attachment Point is a function of Theta, (Figure 4). The displacement distance ΔA along the COM axis line, from the actual attachment point, (distance A from the Pivot Point along the COM axis) for the Virtual Attachment Point is:

 $\Delta A = (H-r) \bullet Cot \theta$

Therefore the COM axis distance from the Pivot Point to the Virtual Attachment Point is Av:

 $Av = A + \Delta A = A + (H-r) \bullet Cot \theta$

Figure 4. The Virtual Attachment Point, Av.



5. Torque Analysis

For a stationary system the clockwise torque is equal to the counterclockwise torque.

$$\Sigma T_{\text{counterclockwise}} = \Sigma T_{\text{clockwise}}$$

A. Clockwise Torque Calculations

The torque is calculated from the center of mass of the components of the system; the tower, the rotor, the antenna and the mast. The values of the torque are perpendicular to a line from the Pivot Point to the COM of the components. The force vectors used in these torque calculations are therefore also perpendicular to that same line.

In order to calculate that force vector we need to know the angle of the line from the Pivot Point to the COM of each component. See Figure 5. If the tower were horizontal we can calculate that angle since it is the angle from the side of the tower to the line from the Pivot Point to the COM. We will also need to know the angle for the Virtual Attachment Point, Av. For Each Component, the angle is given by the Arctan of (r/the x-axis distance to that components COM);

Figure 5. The Component Angles for Torque Calculations



At any given tower angle, α , the total angle for the torque calculation will be, for example, For Av the angle to ground will be = ($\angle Av + \alpha$).

The total clockwise torque is the sum of the individual component torques:

$$T = T_B + T_C + T_D + T_E$$

Where T_B = Tower Torque,

T_C = Rotor Torque,

T_D = Antenna Torque, and

T_E = Mast Torque.

For any given component (e.g. The Tower, B) the torque will be the distance from the Pivot to the COM of the component times to force perpendicular to the line from the Pivot to the COM.

The distance will be the horizontal distance to the component center of mass, B, divided by the Cos (\angle B): See Figure 5

= B/ Cos (\angle B). This will be true for the Av as well.

Figure 6. The Individual Component Force Calculation



The force will be the weight of the component times the Cos ($\alpha + \angle B$). See Figure 6 Therefore the torque, T_B, will be:

 $T_{B} = (B/ \cos (\angle B)) \bullet WT_{B} \bullet \cos (\alpha + \angle B)$ $T_{C} = (C/ \cos (\angle C)) \bullet WT_{C} \bullet \cos (\alpha + \angle C)$ $T_{D} = (D/ \cos (\angle D)) \bullet WT_{D} \bullet \cos (\alpha + \angle D)$ $T_{E} = (E/ \cos (\angle E)) \bullet WT_{E} \bullet \cos (\alpha + \angle E)$

B. <u>Counterclockwise Torque and Force Calculations</u>

The counterclockwise torque, T, is perpendicular to the line from the Pivot Point to the Virtual Attachment Point, Av, and will be equal to the force vector at the Virtual Attachment Point, F_T times the distance from the Pivot Point to the Virtual Attachment Point. F_T is parallel to T. See Figure 7

The distance from the Pivot Point to the Virtual Attachment Point is:

= Av/Cos (
$$\angle$$
 Av)
T = F_T • Av/Cos (\angle Av) = \sum Tclockwise

 $F_T = \sum T \operatorname{clockwise} / (Av/Cos (\angle Av))$

Figure 7. Counterclockwise Force Calculation Diagram.



Fc is the tension on the cable bundle. To calculate Fc we need to know the angle between Fc and F_T.

The angle between the tower and the cable is θ . The angle between the tower and the line between the Pivot

Point and the Virtual Attachment Point is \angle Av. By inspection of Figure 7, it can be shown that the angle between Fc and F_T is (90 – (θ + \angle Av)). The angle opposite that in the right triangle is (θ + \angle Av).

Therefore:

 $F_T/F_c = Sin (\theta + \angle Av)$

Solving for force on the cable bundle, Fc gives:

Fc = FT/ Sin (θ + \angle Av)

Finally, the force on the Hoist Cable is Fc/4.

6. Virtual vs Actual Attachment Points

In an earlier section an assumption was made that the attachment point used for the Counterclockwise Torque and Force calculations would need to be a virtual point along the line representing the center of mass of the various components. Indeed the analysis was done and the data shown in the Excel spread sheet, Chart 1, was generated using the virtual attachment point, which varied with the tilt angle alpha, α .

The insight into the problem as led to question whether this assumption was necessary, or whether the actual attachment point could be used for the calculations. In order to do this, 1) the Counterclockwise Torque would have to be perpendicular to a line from the Pivot Point to the Actual Attachment point (Line PA), 2) the lever arm length would have to be the actual distance from the Pivot Point to the Actual Attachment Point, Line A_A and 3) the angle between the Cable Bundle and Line PA would have to be known.

Figure 8. Angles associated with the Actual Attachment Point Calculations



Let's first consider the third item, the angle between the Line A_A and the Cable Bundle between the Actual Attachment Point and the Lift Pulley as shown in Figure 8. The angle between those two lines is the sum of two angles, θ and $\angle A_A$. The angle $\angle A_A$ can be calculated since we know length of the two sides of the triangle. The Adjacent Side is the distance A and the opposite side is the distance H. Therefore angle $\angle A_A$ = Arctan (H/A). For the current tower under consideration this is about 15.9°. The length of A_A is equal $A/Cos(\angle A_A)$ which is about 7.3 ft. The angle between horizontal and A_A is equal to $\alpha + \angle A_A$.

The first condition was that the Counterclockwise Torque would be perpendicular to the Line A_A . So the question becomes, what is the angle between the Cable Bundle and this Torque at the Actual Attachment Point.

Figure 9. Final Force Calculation Angles and Vectors from Actual Attachment Point.



As shown in Figure 9, the Line PP-AP, is perpendicular to the Torque line, Line AP-LP. Therefore, the angle between the Torque vector originating at point AP, in Figure 9 and the Cable Bundle, shown as Line AP-LP, is equal to 90° - (θ + $\angle A_A$). Also, note that the complementary angle opposite this angle is just (θ + $\angle A_A$).

So now we can calculate the forces involved.

The Counterclockwise Torque is equal to the Clockwise Torque and is equal to F_T times the lever arm distance, A_A .



And

$$F_T = T/A_A$$

Sin (
$$\theta$$
 + $\angle A_A$) = F_T /Fc

Fc =
$$F_T$$
/ Sin ($\theta + \angle A_A$)

And the force on the winch cable is $1/4^{th}$ the force on the Cable Bundle

$$Fw = Fc/4$$

7. Summary and Conclusions

An Excel model was prepared from these calculations which is shown in Chart 1. The results of the model calculations seem reasonable. The maximum force on the cable with the tower at 0°, or horizontal, is about 1650 lbs. As the tower approaches vertical the model predicts that this force will go negative. This is consistent with observations. When the tower reaches a certain angle the center of mast goes past the Pivot Point and it is necessary to use a hydrolic jack to keep the tower from slamming into position.

There has been a lot of learning by the author during this exercise to analyze this situation and get an answer. I think my understanding of torque problems has grown nicely.

I had originally assumed that the counterclockwise torque calculations would have to be made assuming along the same axis as the center of mass for the loads. Thus I created the Virtual Attachment Point. After going through that exercise, I realized that this might not be the case and that any attachment point would give the same result of the angles and forces were calculated properly. For that reason an analysis was done using the Actual Attachment Point. A plot of the force on the winch, Fw (Also Fc/4), calculated from the Actual Attachment Point, as a function of tower angle, α , is indistinguishable to a plot of Fw calculated from the Virtual Attachment Point. The data is included in Chart 1 and Graph 4.

There was one anomalous observation. Theta, θ , and Psi, ψ , increase unexpectedly as Alpha, α , approaches 90°. See Graph 1. Inspection of the data reveals that the measurements for the calculation of Theta, θ , namely Lx and Ly, become very small as α approaches 90°. It is not clear to me if small errors in the calculation, an error in the model or if the actual angle that is being formed in this model is causing this apparent discrepancy or whether this is real phenomenon and the model is actually accurate. In any event, the numbers are small and any error in the number would be of no consequence during the operation of a winch or hoist.

	F _o /4 (A _A)	.8 1629	1540	1 1455	4 1369	1281	5 1191	1100	1009	3 916	17 822	18 727	7 632	3 536	8 440	3 343	7 247	1 150	9 52	-35																					
	F _C (A _A)	9 651	1 616	582	3 547	7 512	9 476	l 440	3 403	366	7 328	3 290	7 252	3 214	3 175	137	5 98	99	20	-13																					
	$F_{T}\left(A_{A}\right)$	4549	4514	4445	4343	4207	403	3842	3613	3358	3077	2773	2447	2103	1743	137(986	595	20(-118																					
	F _c /4 (Av)	1633	1544	1458	1372	1284	1194	1103	1011	918	824	729	634	537	441	344	248	151	52	-35																					
	F _c (Av)	6530	6174	5834	5487	5134	4776	4413	4045	3673	3296	2916	2534	2150	1764	1377	066	603	210	-139																					
	F _T (Av)	3450	3528	3561	3557	3515	3438	3325	3178	2998	2787	2546	2278	1985	1669	1332	975	602	209	-130		Cos (Angle	0.998642	0.999445	0.999712	0.9998															
	⊢	33116	32864	32363	31615	30627	29405	27960	26302	24444	22399	20185	17816	15312	12692	9975	7182	4334	1453	-860		it Angle																			
SIN	(∂+vA)	0.53	0.57	0.61	0.65	0.68	0.72	0.75	0.79	0.82	0.85	0.87	0.90	0.92	0.95	0.97	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.93		Componen	2.99	1.91	1.37	1.15															
	∠Av	3.58	3.69	3.78	3.86	3.94	4.01	4.08	4.15	4.21	4.27	4.33	4.39	4.45	4.51	4.58	4.66	4.76	4.92	5.19		Pivot																			
	Av	9.60	9.32	9.09	8.89	8.71	8.55	8.41	8.28	8.15	8.04	7.93	7.82	7.71	7.61	7.49	7.36	7.20	6.97	6.61		ance from	11.5	18	25	30															
	8 NIS	0.47	0.52	0.56	0.60	0.63	0.67	0.70	0.74	0.77	0.80	0.83	0.86	0.89	0.92	0.94	0.97	0.99	1.00	0.96		C.O.M. Dist	B =	" C	= 0	= Ш															
	COT ()	1.86	1.65	1.49	1.35	1.22	1.11	1.01	0.91	0.82	0.74	0.66	0.59	0.51	0.43	0.35	0.26	0.15	-0.02	-0.28	orce	eight (lb)	2000	50	250	100				β)											
	Theta ($ heta$)	28.3	31.2	33.8	36.5	39.3	42.0	44.8	47.6	50.5	53.5	56.5	59.7	63.0	66.6	70.7	75.5	81.7	91.3	105.7	ŭ	Force W	F1 =	F2 =	F3 =	F4 =				oint = (G*Sir											
ctan(Ly/Lx)	(Psi)	28.3	26.2	23.8	21.5	19.3	17.0	14.8	12.6	10.5	8.5	6.5	4.7	3.0	1.6	0.7	0.5	1.7	6.3	16.7	Torque	mponent	ver	tor	tenna	st				Reference Po					_		Sinß)				
Ar	Ly/Lx	0.54	0.49	0.44	0.39	0.35	0.31	0.26	0.22	0.19	0.15	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.11	0:30		C	ent To	Ro	An	Ma		erence Point		m Common			Centroid	tal	ole. $\theta = \alpha + \eta$	ntal	ivot = H+(G*				
	Ľ	9.76	9.56	9.31	9.01	8.66	8.26	7.83	7.35	6.84	6.30	5.73	5.14	4.53	3.91	3.28	2.64	2.01	1.38	0.89			to Attachm	wer COM	tor COM	tenna COM	ist COM	mmon Refe		t Pulley fro			to Tower (and horizon	and the cat	and horizon	lley from P	Post			
-	Ly	5.26	4.70	4.11	3.56	3.03	2.53	2.07	1.65	1.27	0.94	0.65	0.42	0.24	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.15	0.27			tance Pivot	tance to To	tance to Ro	tance to An	tance to Ma	Pivot to Co	ir Post	tance of Lif	t of Pulley	ngth	Tower Side	i the cable á	the tower	the tower	tance of Pu	at of Pulley			
	Cos α	1.000	0.996	0.985	0.966	0.940	0.906	0.866	0.819	0.766	0.707	0.643	0.574	0.500	0.423	0.342	0.259	0.174	0.087	0.017			orizontal Dis	nizontal Dis	nizontal Disi	nizontal Dis	nizontal Disi	stance from	ngth of Leve	orizontal Dis	irtical Height	wer Side Lei	stance from	igle betweer	Igle betweer	igle betweer	nizontal Dis	igle of Retre			
	Sin α	0.000	0.087	0.174	0.259	0.342	0.423	0.500	0.574	0.643	0.707	0.766	0.819	0.866	0.906	0.940	0.966	0.985	0.996	1.000			7 Hc	11.5 Hc	18 Hc	25 Hc	30 Hc	2 Di	7.3 Le	0.76 Hc	7.26 Ve	2 Tc	0.6 Di	ψ	θ Ar	αAr	.763058 Hc	6 Ar	0.995	0.105	
	Alpha	0	ъ	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	88			= A =	B =	" "	= D		Ŧ	=9	= x9	Gy=	<u>"</u>	II L	Psi	Theta	Alpha	P 2	β	cosβ	SIN B	-

Table 1. Excel Spreadsheet Model V4.



